UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,028	09/15/2003	Samuel H. Reichgott	SDC-103US	2564
23122 RATNERPRES	7590 09/11/200 STIA	EXAMINER		
POBOX 980	CE DA 10402 0000	VO, DON NGUYEN		
VALLEY FOR	GE, PA 19482-0980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/663,028	REICHGOTT ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Don N. Vo	2611		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tird will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18. This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1.3-21 and 23-25 is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.14.16.17.19-21 and 23-25 is/are re 7) Claim(s) 3-13.15 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration. ejected. for election requirement.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the education of the learning of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing (s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/663,028 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 06/18/2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2611

4. Claims 1, 14, 16, 17, 19-21 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshida et al (US 20030053412) in view of Tong et al (US 6,337,658; art of record).

Regarding claims 1, 14, 16, 17, 19-21 and 23, Yoshida, as shown in figures 7, 11, 14 and 16, teaches all subject matter claimed except for determining the information concerning the frequency spectra and using such information to select the preferred direction of the antennas. See also [0016], [0017], 0048] and [0056] – [0083]. Yoshida also teaches calculating the Doppler shift involving phase and frequency variations. See also [0056] and [0057]. However, Tong, from the same field of endeavor, teaches using the information concerning the frequency spectra to select the preferred direction of the antenna. See column 1, lines 15-19. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Yoshida et al by including the information concerning the frequency spectra to select the preferred direction of the antenna as taught by Tong et al so that to enhance signal reception since more parameters are included for making the determination of the preferred direction of the antennas for reception.

Regarding claims 24 and 25, both Yoshida and Tong teaches all subject matter claimed except for the type of equalization filter as recited. See Yoshida: [0008], [0010] and [0011]. However, the DFE or linear equalizer is well known in the art of digital communications and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system

Application/Control Number: 10/663,028 Page 4

Art Unit: 2611

of Yoshida et al by implementing the equalizer of Yoshida according to DFE or linear equalizer based on the particular environment, i.e. DFE for combating ISI and linear equalizer for other type of noise.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3-13, 15 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 14, 16, 17, and 19-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References Granata et al (US 6,009,307) and Ylitalo et al (US 2005/0157684) are cited because they are pertinent to the method and apparatus for controlling plurality of antennas.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Don N. Vo whose telephone number is (571) 272-3018. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (9:00AM 6:00PM).

Application/Control Number: 10/663,028 Page 5

Art Unit: 2611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MOHAMMAD GHAYOUR can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Don N. Vo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611